UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,274	10/09/2007	Rehab Al-Jamal	MUR-06-1101	9435
	7590 07/31/200 DLA PIPER US LLP	8	EXAMINER	
ONE LIBERTY			HADDAD, MAHER M	
PHILADELPH	F ST, SUITE 4900 IA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/576,274	AL-JAMAL ET AL	AL-JAMAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Maher M. Haddad	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUN f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO rill, by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this case ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 17 April 2008						
<u>, </u>	b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for	<i>'</i> —	tters prosecution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	•	•	o monto lo				
Disposition of Claims	<u></u>						
•							
	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-18</u> are subject t	o restriction and/or election require	ement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	·		, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received.						
	f the priority documents have beer		Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	,						
Attachment(s)	🗖 .						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/576,274 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment, filed on 4/17/06, is acknowledged.

2. Claims 1-13 and 15-18 are pending and being acted upon presently.

Election/Restrictions

3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- 4. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
 - I. Claims 2 and 15-16, drawn to a method of promoting tissue repair comprising the step of administering a compound which modulates the function of beta 1 integrin to a tissue in need of repair, wherein the <u>compound modulates the metalloproteinase</u> (MMP) balance.
 - II. Claims 3-4 and 15-16, drawn to a method of promoting tissue repair comprising the step of administering a compound which modulates the function of beta 1 integrin to a tissue in need of repair, wherein the <u>compound modulates apoptosis</u>.
 - III. Claims 6 and 8-13, drawn to an assay method for identifying compounds suitable for use in tissue repair, said assay comprising the steps of: -providing a candidate compound, bringing the candidate compound into contact with beta 1 integrin, determining the presence or absence of modulation of beta 1 integrin activity by the candidate compound, wherein modulation of beta 1 integrin activity is indicative of utility of that compound in tissue repair, wherein modulation of beta 1 integrin activity is assessed by monitoring variance in the MMP level.
 - IV. Claims 7-13, drawn to an assay method for identifying compounds suitable for use in tissue repair, said assay comprising the steps of: -providing a candidate compound, -bringing the candidate compound into contact with beta 1 integrin, determining the presence or absence of modulation of beta 1 integrin activity by the candidate compound, wherein modulation of beta 1 integrin activity is indicative of utility of that compound in tissue repair, wherein modulation of beta 1 integrin activity is assessed by the resulting modulation on apoptosis.
 - V. Claims 17-18, drawn to a compound identified by the method for identifying compounds suitable for use in tissue repair.

Claim 1 links inventions I and II. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim 1.

Likewise, claim 5 links inventions III and IV. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim 1.

Upon the allowance of the linking claim, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicants are advised that if any such claims depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim is presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

5. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The invention of Group I was found to have no special technical feature that defined the contribution over the prior art of Leu et al (JBC, 278(36):33801-33808) (see entire document) or Lin et al (JBC 278(26):24200-24208, 2003) (see the entire document).

Leu et al teach a method of promoting tissue repair comprising the step of contacting CCN1 which modulates the function of beta 1 integrin in angiogenesis (wound healing) (see abstract and page 33801, 2nd col., top \P) as claimed in claim 1. Leu et al demonstrate that human skin fibroblasts adhere specifically to the T1 sequence (GQKCIVQTTSWSQCSKS) within domain III of CCN1, and this process is blocked by anti- β 1 monoclonal antibodies (see abstract).

Lin et al teach that CCN3 induces neovascularization when implanted in rat cornea, demonstrating that it is a novel angiogenic inducer. Lin et al concluded that these findings show that CCN3 is a ligand of integrins $\alpha 5\beta 1$, acts directly upon endothelial cells to stimulate proangiogenic activities, and induces angiogenesis in vivo (see abstract) as claimed in claim 1.

Since Applicant's inventions do not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art they do not have a single general inventive concept and so lack unity of invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,274

Art Unit: 1644

6. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

Page 4

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper

Application/Control Number: 10/576,274

Art Unit: 1644

restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maher Haddad whose telephone number is (571) 272-0845. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen B. O'Hara can be reached on (571) 272-0878. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 14, 2008

/Maher M. Haddad/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644 Page 5